

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,249	10/27/2003	Valery M. Dubin	42P16681	4353
7590 09/05/2006		EXAMINER		
MICHAEL A. BERNADICOU			LE, DUNG ANH	
BLAKELY, SO	KOLOFF, TAYLOR & Z	ZAFMAN LLP		
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEVENTH FLOOR			2818	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) DUBIN ET AL.							
## Examiner DUNG A LE 2818 —The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. □ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.156(s). In so event, however, may a righty be limited to the provision of 37 CFR 1.156(s), in so event, however, may a righty be limited to the provision of 37 CFR 1.156(s), in so event, however, may a righty be limited to the provision of 37 CFR 1.156(s), in so event, however, may a righty be limited to the provision of 37 CFR 1.156(s), in so event, however, may a righty be limited to the provision of 37 CFR 1.156(s), in so event, however, may a righty be limited to the provision of 37 CFR 1.156(s), in so event, however, may a righty be limited to this communication. □ If No period for righy is appointed above, the minimum statutory primorum of the statutory (30 years) will be considered times. □ If No period for righy septided above, the statutory primorum of the statutory (30 years) will be considered times. □ If No period for righy septided above, the statutory primorum of the statutory (30 years) will be considered times. □ If No period for righy septided above, the statutory primorum of the statutory (30 years) will be considered times. □ If No period for righy septided times. □ If No period		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
DINGS A. LE - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Exerction is memory by available more the precessor of SYCR1.13(b), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Expended for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 days, and the precision of this (30 days will be considered timely) If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 days, and the precision of this (30 days) and the considered timely If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 days, and the precision of this communication An year year with the Office later than thirties months after the mailing date of this communication An year year year of the communication (s) filled on 30 fluere 2006 2a) This action is FINAL 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1936 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-58.10.12.15-17.27.29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed 8) Claim(s) is/are allowed 8) Claim(s) is/are allowed 8) Claim(s) is/are allowed 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner		10/695,249	DUBIN ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estensions of term may be existed under the provision of 37 CFR 1136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled Estensions of term may be existed under the provision of 37 CFR 1136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled Estensions of term say be specified above in the same interfully did use, a reply within the sublatory maintain of this (s) MONTH's from the realing date of this communication. Failure to kept within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor, deute the application to become ARAHODNED (38 U.S.C.§ 133). Failure to kept within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor, deute the application to become ARAHODNED (38 U.S.C.§ 133). Part of the second of the communication of the communication, event fromly fact, may reduce any search of the communication, event fromly fact, may reduce any search of the communication, event fromly fact, may reduce any search of the communication. Failure to kept within the soft or extended period for reply will be set or extended period from the communication. Failure to kept within the set or extended period from the communication. The communication is FINAL. 1) Responsive to communication (s) filled on 30 June 2006. Status 1) Responsive to communication (s) filled on 30 June 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is filled. 2a) Claim(s) 1.5.8.10.12.15.17.27.29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5b) Claim(s) 1.5.8.10.12.15.17.27.29 is/are rejected. 7c Liam(s) 1.5.8.10.12.15.17.27.29 is/are rejected. 7d Application Pape	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **Safework of their may be available under the provisions of 37 FR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a rapily be timely filed **Enterprised for may specified above. The mastern statutory period will apply and will expect SN (5) MONTH'S from the realing date of this communication for regly is pecified above. The mastern statutory period will apply and will expect SN (5) MONTH'S from the realing date of this communication. Provided the provided of 30 June 2005. **PROVIDED TO THE PROVIDED TO THE PROVIDE		DUNG A. LE	2818				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of size has poly available used for the provision of 3 CFR 1.103(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely field Extensions of size has poly available used for the provision of 3 CFR 1.103(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely field If the period for reply separation down, the maximum of 3 CFR 1.103(s), a reply white the substance of the period for reply separation for reply size period above is less than thirty (39) days, a reply white the substance of the period for reply white the set or extended protent for reply will, by statistic period will apply and well easily 5(x) (MDNTHS from the realizing date of this communication. Falaries to reply white the set or extended protent for reply will, by statistic, deute the enabling date of the communication. Falaries to reply white the set or extended protent for reply will, by statistic, deute the enabling date of the communication. Falaries to reply white the set or extended protent for reply will, by statistic, deute the enabling date of the communication. Falaries to reply white the set or extended protent for reply will, by statistic, deute the enabling date of the communication. Falaries to reply white the set or extended protent for reply will, by statistic, deute the enabling date of the communication. Falaries to reply white the set or extended protent for reply will be set or extended protent for reply will be set or extended for reply will be set or extended for reply and will be set or extended for reply and will be set or extended for the communication. Falaries to reply white the set or extended protent for reply and will be set or extended for reply and the set or reply and the set of the communication. Falaries to reply white the set of the protent for reply and the communication. Falaries to reply white the set of the protent for reply and the set or reply and the set of the protent for formation for t	The state of the s	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 June 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-5.8.10.12.15-17.27.29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5.8.10.12.15-17.27.29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replepty within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH oute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-5.8.10.12.15-17.27.29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 9) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status	•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-5.8.10.12.15-17.27.29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 9) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	Tune 2006					
3		<u> </u>					
4)	3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5,8,10,12,1517,27,29</u> is/are reje 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	rawn from consideration.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some column None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		- ' '					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure	ents have been received. Ints have been received in Application of the content o	olication No eceived in this National Stage				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						
	 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 	Paper No(s)/ (08) 5) Notice of Info	Mail Date Domal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1, 12,15,16,27 are amended.

Claims 6,9,11,13-15,18-26 and 28 are canceled.

Claims 1-5,7-8,10,12,15-17,27 and 29 are pending.

Claim Objections

Claim 10 is objected, it depends from canceled claim 11.

Claim Rejections

Set of claims 1-5,7-8,10,12,15-17.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5,7-8, 10, 12, 15 and 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Kripesh et al. in view of Besser et al. (6444567 B1).

Kripesh et al. teach a method for making a semiconductor device comprising:

Art Unit: 2818

forming a conductive path 43 on a substrate (especially refer to figs. 4A-11 and related text) the conductive path made of copper;

depositing a metal more noble than copper 51/61/81 on the conductive path 51, from an aqueous solution by immersion plating [0031]; and

facilitating a diffusion ([0031], [0037] and [0046]) of the metal more noble than copper into the conductive path, the metal more noble than copper having a low solubility to substantially diffuse into grain boundaries of the conductive path to significantly increase reliability of the conductive path.

Kripesh et al. do not teach the step of planarizing the conductive path after the facilitating to removed the deposited metal and a portion of the conductive path.

Besser et al. disclose planarizing the conductive path after the facilitating to removed the posited metal and a portion of the conductive path (Fig. 2, col 11, lines 1-15 and related texts).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to planarizing the conductive path after the facilitating to removed the posited metal and a portion of the conductive path in Kripesh et al. 's method, in order to minimizing or substantially preventing electromigration therefrom may then be subjected to further "back-end" metallization processing, e.g., adherent formation thereon, as by damascene techniques, of at least one additional layer or strata of in-laid metallization.

Page 4

Regarding claim 2, Kripesh teaches wherein the metal-metal more noble than copper comprises platinum [0030].

Regarding claim 3, Kripesh teaches wherein the metal is upper the metal more noble than copper comprise rhodium [see claim 10 and 54 of prior art].

Regarding claim 4, Kripesh teaches wherein forming the conductive path 43 comprises a damascene process.

Regarding claim 5, wherein the metal more noble than copper comprises gold [see claim 10 and 54 of prior art].

Regarding claim 7, Kripesh teaches wherein the metal more noble than copper comprises ruthenium [see claim 10 and 54 of prior art].

Regarding claim 8, Kripesh teaches wherein metal more noble than copper comprises osmium [see claim 10 and 54 of prior art].

Regarding claim 10, Kripesh teaches wherein the metal more noble than copper comprises iridium [see claim 10 and 54 of prior art].

Regarding claim 15, Kripesh teaches wherein facilitating diffusion of the second material comprises heat treating [0037] the conductive path 43 having the deposited metal more noble the copper 51.

Regarding claim 17, Kripesh teaches wherein the conductive path 43 comprises at least of one of a conductive line and a conductive interconnect.

Art Unit: 2818

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Kripesh et al. in view of Besser et al. and further in view of Sinha et al. (2004/0157433 A1) or the following remark.

Kripesh et al. in view of Besser teaches the claimed invention as applied to claim 1 and 15 including a heat treatment except for heat treating the conductive path comprises annealing the conductive path to substantially diffuse the metal more noble the copper to the grain boundaries within the copper, the temperature and time based at least in part on the copper and the metal more noble the copper as cited in current claim 16.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art making semiconductor device to determine the workable or optimal value for heat treating the conductive path comprises annealing the conductive path to substantially diffuse the metal more noble the copper to the grain boundaries within the copper, the temperature and time based at least in part on the copper

Art Unit: 2818

and the metal more noble the copper through routine experimentation and optimization to optimal device performance.

Set of claims 27 and 29

Claims 27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Kripesh et al. in view of Han et al. (6,924,234 B2) and furthering view of Besser et al.

Kripesh et al. teach a method for making a semiconductor device comprising:

forming a conductive path 43 on a substrate, the conductive path made of a first material;

depositing a second material 51/61/81 on the conductive path; and facilitating a diffusion [0031] [0037] and 0046] of the second material into the conductive path, the second material having a predetermined solubility to substantially diffuse to at least one of an interface and grain boundaries within the first material to significantly increase reliability of the conductive path.

Art Unit: 2818

Kripesh et al. does not teach removing an oxide from the conductive path by etching the conductive path with a medium having a mildly acidic or mildly basic solution and planarizing the conductive path after the facilitating to removed the posited metal and a portion of the conductive path.

Han et al. teach removing an oxide from the conductive path by etching the conductive path with a medium having a mildly acidic or mildly basic solution (figs. 2E-2G and col 4, lines 1-17).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to remove an oxide from the conductive path by etching the conductive path with a medium having a mildly acidic or mildly basic solution in Kripesh's method in order to improve planarization, while mitigating scratched during fabricating and improvement (col 2, line 35-40 and col 3, lines 10-15).

Besser et al. disclose planarizing the conductive path after the facilitating to removed the posited metal and a portion of the conductive path (Fig. 2, col 11, lines 1-15 and related texts).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to planar the conductive path after the facilitating to removed the posited metal and a portion of the conductive path in Kripesh et al. and Han 's method, in order to minimizing or substantially preventing electromigration therefrom may then be subjected to further "back-end"

Art Unit: 2818

metallization processing, e.g., adherent formation thereon, as by damascene techniques, of at least one additional layer or strata of in-laid metallization.

Regarding claim 29, Kripesh (claim 10 on page 14) discloes the second material further comprises at least one of silver, gold, palladium, ruthenium, rhodium, osmium, iridium, and platinum.

When responding to the office action, Applicants' are advice to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist the examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) day from the day of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see M.P.E.P 710.02(b)).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened

Art Unit: 2818

statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung A. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-1784. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday 6:00am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The central fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571)272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

Art Unit: 2818

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DUNG A. LE

Primary Examiner

Page 10

Art Unit 2818